## INTEROFFICE MEMORANDUM

Date:

18-Jan-1990 04:51pm GMT

From:

**CCREES** 

CCREES@UQVAX@MRGATE@UQADM

Dept: Tel No:

TO: coulter alan@A1

Subject: AARNet

ALan,

The following lines marked > is a message I sent to Geoff Huston detailing files I have copied to him. These files are essentially all the info I have distributed on the financial model and equipment configuration. His reply follows. I thought you might be interested becaues he (and Robin) seem to be distancing themselves from the AVCC (presumably Mullarvy).

See you on monday.

>I am just about to PSI\_COPY some files to you.

>GIH1 - Notes on the financial model posted with the AVCC documents

>Having tried to digest the AVCC model, my first pass at the Qld >configuration is contained in the files.

>GIH2 - WORD document, notes and a diagram.

>GIH3 - Excel SS of pricing

>GIH4 - Excel SS of source information for the above SS. (Sorry I should

>have copied and pasted values only)

>You will note that I found it very hard to interpret your intentions about >teh X.25 costs in the AVCC doco. Its a pity the cost of adding just 1 line >to a Hybridge requires an MGS box which is just so much more expensive. Is >it worth enquiring whether they would put a CSC 1E2S card in a Hybridge >box?

Thanks for all this - I am extracting and printing them at this moment.

I must admit to a high degree of frustration with the AVCC myself. After working out an acceptable financial picture (WITH \_FULL\_ AVCC approval in June 1989) which had state-based funding, plus national funding, to get the 1990 funding turned a over t in one AVCC executive meeting was a bit of a shock on which I (and by the look of it, yourself!) am still reeling a bit.

However this funding decision has now been made by the AVCC, (at least for 1990), and the task is now along the lines of working within this decision to ensure that we can get back on the track that I thought was well defined in August. I hope that the meeting on the 24th (which Alan Coulter will be attending) can prepare a document which adequately addresses the very important (and CRUCIAL) point raised by both yourself and Chris Rusbridge (These documents that you have written will be very helpful at the meeting, and hopefully will provide sufficient material to push home the point that such a network requires the active support and involvement at the national, regional and institutional level - both in terms of management as well as operational structures)

I'll keep you informed.....

thanks muchly,

Geoff